

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 450 295

CG 030 642

AUTHOR Mendoza-Newman, Mary C.; Greene, Roger L.; Velasquez, Roberto J.

TITLE Acculturation, SES, and the MMPI-A Performance of Hispanic Adolescents.

PUB DATE 2000-08-00

NOTE 8p.; Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the American Psychological Association (108th, Washington, DC, August 4-8, 2000).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Acculturation; *Adolescents; High School Students; High Schools; *Hispanic American Students; Measures (Individuals); *Socioeconomic Status

IDENTIFIERS *Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory

ABSTRACT

This paper discusses a study that examined the relationship between acculturation and socioeconomic status (SES) on two scales of the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-Adolescent (MMPI-A) for Hispanic adolescents (N=65). The study hypothesized that higher levels of acculturation and SES in Hispanic adolescents would be related to scale L (Lie) and related directly to scale 5 (Masculinity-Femininity) of the MMPI-A. The study also hypothesized that acculturation and SES would not differ in their relative contributions to Scales 1 and 5. The findings did not support the inverse relationship between levels of acculturation, SES, and Scale L; nor did they support the direct relationship between levels of acculturation, SES, and Scale 5. These findings are contrary to research findings with Hispanic adults and the MMPI and MMPI-2. The results suggest that low acculturated and low SES Hispanic adolescents in this sample responded with an appropriate balance between admitting and denying minor social faults. While there exists a common traditional belief in the Hispanic culture that a person does not ask for help and does not discuss problems outside the home, the clinical implications of these findings suggest that Hispanic adolescents may be more accepting of seeking help, perhaps even seeking mental health services. (MKA)

ACCULTURATION, SES, AND THE MMPI-A PERFORMANCE OF HISPANIC ADOLESCENTS

Mary C. Mendoza-Newman, Ph.D.
Pacific Graduate School of Psychology
MendozaNewman1@home.com

Roger L. Greene, Ph.D.
Pacific Graduate School of Psychology
Rogermmmpi2@aol.com

Roberto J. Velasquez, Ph.D.
San Diego State University
Rvelasquez@sunstroke.sdsu.edu

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- ☐ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

Mendoza -
Newman

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

INTRODUCTION

A review of the Hispanic MMPI literature revealed an inverse relationship between higher levels of acculturation and SES and Scale L (Lie) and a direct relationship between lower levels of acculturation and SES and Scale 5 (Masculinity-Femininity). Elevations on Scale L (Lie) suggest that less acculturated Hispanics are less willing to reveal psychological distress and adhere to strict moral and religious principals. Lower elevations on Scale 5 (Masculinity-Femininity) suggest that less acculturated Hispanics adhere to rigid gender roles. Many authors suggest that this may be a genuine characteristic of the Hispanic culture (Lucio, Reyes-Lagunes, Scott, 1994; Montgomery & Orozco, 1985; Reilley & Knight, 1970).

The present study examined the relationship between acculturation and SES on Scales L (Lie) and 5 (Masculinity-Femininity) of the MMPI-A for an Hispanic adolescent sample. This study hypothesized that higher levels of acculturation and SES in Hispanic adolescents would be related inversely to Scale L (Lie) and related directly to Scale 5 (Masculinity-Femininity) of the MMPI-A. This study also hypothesized that acculturation and SES would not differ in their relative contributions to Scales L (Lie) and 5 (Masculinity-Femininity).

METHODS

Sixty-five Hispanic adolescents from three San Francisco Bay Area high schools participated in this study by completing a confidential demographic questionnaire, Scale 1 of the Acculturation Rating Scale for Mexican Americans-II (ARSMA-II: Cuellar, Arnold, & Maldonado, 1995), and the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-Adolescent (MMPI-A).

All of the demographic information was coded to obtain descriptive statistics. Acculturation was measured by Scale 1 of the ARSMA-II (Cuellar et al., 1995), and SES was measured by the Hollingshead and Redlich (1958) Two-Factor Index. An acculturation and SES

score was obtained for each student. The MMPI-A was computer scored and raw score were converted to *T*-Scores for the seven Validity and ten Clinical Scales. Multiple regression analyses were used to test for the relationship between level of acculturation, SES, and Scale L (Lie) and Scale 5 (Masculinity-Femininity).

The sample consisted of 29 males and 36 females between the ages of 14 and 19 ($M = 16.3$, $SD = 1.6$). Seventy-seven percent identified as Mexican, twenty percent identified as Central American, and three percent identified as South American. The majority of students ranked in the low SES (69 percent) and low acculturation (68 percent) groups.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the correlation coefficients for Scale L (Lie), Scale 5 (Masculinity-Femininity), acculturation, and SES for the Hispanic adolescent sample ($N = 65$). The findings of this study do not support the inverse relationship between level of acculturation and Scale L (Lie), $r = -.17$, or level of SES and Scale L (Lie), $r = -.22$. The findings of this study also do not support the direct relationship between level of acculturation and Scale 5 (Masculinity-Femininity), $r = -.27$, or level of SES Scale 5 (Masculinity-Femininity), $r = .03$.

A Z-test for testing the significance of the difference between independent r 's revealed no difference between acculturation and SES on Scale L (Lie) and no difference between acculturation and SES on Scale 5 (Masculinity-Femininity). However, there was a significant negative correlation between the combination of acculturation and SES and Scale L (Lie), $r = -.36$, $p < .05$, but not for Scale 5 (Masculinity-Femininity). Although not statistically significant, acculturation was found to be a stronger variable than SES for Scale 5 (Masculinity-Femininity).

Table 2 provides the means and standard deviations by level of acculturation for the

Table 1

Correlation Coefficients Between Scale L (Lie), Scale 5 (Masculinity-Femininity) and Acculturation and SES

Scale	Acculturation	SES	Acculturation and SES	<i>p</i>
Scale <u>L</u> (Lie)	-.17	-.22	-.36*	.02*
Scale <u>5</u> (Masculinity-Femininity)	-.27	.03	-.29	.10

* $p < .05$ (1 tailed).

MMPI-A Validity and Clinical Scales and Table 3 provides the means and standard deviations by level of SES for the MMPI-A Validity and Clinical Scales.

CONCLUSIONS

The findings of this study do not support the inverse relationship between level of acculturation and SES and Scale L (Lie). The findings also do not support the direct relationship between level of acculturation and SES and Scale 5 (Masculinity-Femininity).

The findings of this study are contrary to research finding with Hispanic adults and the MMPI and MMPI-2. The results suggest that low acculturated and low SES Hispanic adolescents in this sample responded with an appropriate balance between admitting and denying minor social faults (Archer, 1997). While there exists a common traditional belief in the Hispanic culture that a person does not ask for help and does not discuss problems outside the home, the clinical implications of these finding suggests that Hispanic adolescents may be more accepting of seeking help, perhaps even seeking mental health services. Especially as mental health services become more accessible and culturally competent for the Hispanic community.

Table 2

Means and Standard Deviation by Level of Acculturation for the MMPI-A Validity and Clinical Scales

Scale	<u>Low Acculturation</u>		<u>Bicultural</u>	
	M	SD	M	SD
VRIN	51.8	8.1	50.6	7.4
TRIN	58.9 ^a	6.7	53.2 ^b	7.0
F1	51.1	9.3	49.2	8.8
F2	50.9	9.8	50.8	10.9
F	51.0	9.5	50.1	10.3
L	57.8	11.1	56.9	11.9
K	48.7	9.2	50.5	11.5
1 (Hs)	52.6	9.7	52.8	10.5
2 (D)	53.3	10.6	51.8	8.8
3 (Hy)	48.2	10.7	50.2	8.0
4 (Pd)	51.3	8.8	48.9	8.9
5 (Mf)	51.8	11.6	51.6	11.1
6 (Pa)	51.5	10.9	49.6	8.1
7 (Pt)	52.2	10.4	51.1	13.4
8 (Sc)	52.0	9.4	51.9	12.9
9 (Ma)	52.0	9.1	56.6	14.8
0 (Si)	52.0	8.2	49.7	11.5

Note. Means with different superscripts exceed a 5 *T*-score point difference.

Previous research findings suggested that Hispanic adult males respond with a masculine emphasis and Hispanic adult females respond with a feminine emphasis. The results of this study suggest that the low acculturated and low SES Hispanic adolescents in this sample do not rigidly adhere to traditional gender roles. These finding suggests that Hispanic adolescents may be more accepting of egalitarian gender roles and relationships.

Table 3

Means and Standard Deviation by Level of SES for the MMPI-A Validity and Clinical Scales

Scale	<u>Low SES</u>		<u>Middle SES</u>	
	M	SD	M	SD
VRIN	51.6	7.6	50.2	9.0
TRIN	59.3	7.3	58.0	5.4
F1	51.4	9.3	48.8	7.8
F2	52.2 ^a	10.2	46.8 ^b	7.8
F	52.0	9.8	47.6	7.8
L	54.2 ^a	10.9	63.9 ^b	9.0
K	46.7 ^a	8.4	54.5 ^b	11.6
1 (Hs)	54.4 ^a	9.4	47.3 ^b	10.1
2 (D)	53.8 ^a	8.7	46.4 ^b	5.3
3 (Hy)	49.3	8.5	46.1	6.3
4 (Pd)	53.0 ^a	8.6	45.5 ^b	7.0
5 (Mf)	52.4	11.0	52.4	12.3
6 (Pa)	52.6 ^a	10.4	46.3 ^b	7.6
7 (Pt)	54.9 ^a	11.0	43.8 ^b	8.8
8 (Sc)	54.3 ^a	10.4	45.1 ^b	8.9
9 (Ma)	53.9	10.8	55.0	10.6
0 (Si)	53.0	8.4	45.8	10.6

Note. Means with different superscripts exceed a 5 *T*-score point difference.

REFERENCES

- Archer, R.P. (1997). MMPI-A: Assessing adolescent psychopathology (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Cuellar, I., Arnold, B., & Maldonado, R. (1995). Acculturation rating scale for Mexican-Americans-II: A revision of the original ARSMA scale. Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences, 17, 275-304.
- Hollingshead, A.R., & Redlich, F.C. (1958). Social class and mental illness. New York: Wiley.
- Lucio, E., Reyes-Lagunes, I., & Scott, R.L. (1994). MMPI-2 for Mexico: Translation and adaption. Journal of Personality Assessment, 63, 105-116.
- Montgomery, G.T., & Orozco, S. (1985). Mexican Americans' performance on the MMPI as a function of level of acculturation. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 41, 203-212.
- Reilly, R.R., & Knight, G.E. (1970). MMPI scores of Mexican-American college students. Journal of College Student Personnel, 11, 419-422.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Acculturation, SES, and the MMPI-A Performance of Hispanic Adolescents</i>	
Author(s): <i>M. Mendoza-Newman, R. Heene, R. Velazquez</i>	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date:

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY <i>Sample</i> TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
--

1

Level 1

☒

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY <i>Sample</i> TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

Level 2A

☐

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY <i>Sample</i> TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 2B

☐

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign
here,→
please

Signature: <i>M. Mendoza Newman</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>MARY MENDOZA-NEWMAN</i>	
Organization/Address:	Telephone:	FAX:
	E-Mail Address:	Date:

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:	University of North Carolina at Greensboro ERIC/CASS 201 Ferguson Building PO Box 26171 Greensboro, NC 27402-6171
---	---

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
4483-A Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706

Telephone: 301-552-4200
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-552-4700
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>